

SESSION 9

# WERE THE GOSPELS WRITTEN EARLY?

WRITTEN BY CALEB A. NELSON

(WITH J. WARNER WALLACE)

## COMPLETE THE READ, WATCH, AND WRITE SECTIONS TO EARN THE CERTIFICATE



#### **READ**

Study These Segments from J. Warner's Book and Answer the Questions:

Cold-Case Christianity: A Homicide Detective Investigates the Claims of the Gospels (Pages 85-92 and pages 177-198)

The apostles saw themselves first and foremost as a group of, and they understood
that their shared observations were a powerful
to what they claimed to be true.
(Page 85)
When eyewitnesses encounter an event that is similarly, and
they are far more likely to remember
, they are far more likely to remember it and recall specific details accurately. (Page 91)
The apostles only experienced one in their lifetime; they only observed one man rise from
the dead. The was unique,
unrepeated, and powerful. (Page 92)
The reasonable inference from the circumstantial
evidence is that the Gospels were
in history, at a time when the
original eyewitnesses and gospel writers were still
alive and could testify to what they had seen. (Page
188)

This early placement alone	does not assure that the
Gospels are reliable accour	nts, but it keeps them "
" and b	ecomes an important
piece of circumstantial evid	
we determine the	of the gospel
writers. (Page 188)	



#### WATCH

Review This Video from the Case Maker's Course and Answer the Questions:

Case Making Course Session 09 (Investigating the New Testament) - Were the Gospels Written Early?

If the gospels are written earlier on the timeline and the closer they come to the actual events, the
they become.
It appears Mark and Luke were written 15-20 years after the life of Jesus. But is 15-20 years after the events early enough?
Not every memory is

Why did they wait so long to write it down?

started writing it down.

There seems to have been a common expectation amongst all of the authors of Scripture that \_\_\_\_\_\_ in their lifetime. But then James, the brother of John, was martyred, and not long after that we have the early appearance of the gospels. When they realized they may not get out of this \_\_\_\_\_, they



accept the circumstantial evidence for an early dating of the gospels? Do you find the argument persuasive that even though there is only 10-15 years from the life of Jesus to the gospel of Mark, the account is considered
trustworthy? Why or why not?

Why might skeptics such as Bart Ehrman not want to



### RAISE THE BAR View These Additional Resources:



How Early Are The Biblical Accounts Of Jesus? <a href="http://bit.ly/410lgvw">http://bit.ly/410lgvw</a>



There's No Good Reason To Deny The Early Dating Of The Gospels <a href="http://bit.ly/3mjQ8VB">http://bit.ly/3mjQ8VB</a>